




## INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

|  |    |  |
|--|----|--|
| (51) International Patent Classification <sup>7</sup> :<br>H04L 12/64  | A1 | (11) International Publication Number: WO 00/67438<br>(43) International Publication Date: 9 November 2000 (09.11.00)  |
| (21) International Application Number: PCT/US00/11727<br>(22) International Filing Date: 2 May 2000 (02.05.00)<br>(30) Priority Data:<br>09/303,428 3 May 1999 (03.05.99) US<br>(71) Applicant: FUJITSU NETWORK COMMUNICATIONS, INC. [US/US]; 2801 Telecom Parkway, Richardson, TX 75070 (US).<br>(72) Inventor: O'CONNOR, Donald; 5911 Mockingbird Lane, McKinney, TX 75070 (US).<br>(74) Agent: LOOMIS, Timothy, F.; Kile McIntyre Harbin & Lee, LLP, The Evening Star Building, 1101 Pennsylvania Avenue, 8th floor, Washington, DC 20004 (US). |    | (81) Designated States: AE, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CH, CN, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, UZ, VN, YU, ZA, ZW, ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).<br><br>Published<br>With international search report.<br>Before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of the receipt of amendments. |

(54) Title: SONET ADD/DROP MULTIPLEXER WITH PACKET OVER SONET CAPABILITY



## (57) Abstract

An advanced SONET add/drop multiplexer (101, 108, 107, 106) capable of supporting packet over SONET and multiprotocol label switching. The add/drop multiplexer (101, 108, 107, 106) is capable of adding and/or dropping both STM and packet traffic, such as Internet Protocol traffic. This SONET add/drop multiplexer allows Internet Protocol streams which are added or dropped at different nodes to be carried over the same SONET path in a network, thereby greatly saving bandwidth.

*FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY*

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

|    |                          |    |                                       |    |   |    |                          |
|----|--------------------------|----|---------------------------------------|----|---|----|--------------------------|
| AL | Albania                  | ES | Spain                                 | LS | Lesotho                                   | SI | Slovenia                 |
| AM | Armenia                  | FI | Finland                               | LT | Lithuania                                 | SK | Slovakia                 |
| AT | Austria                  | FR | France                                | LU | Luxembourg                                | SN | Senegal                  |
| AU | Australia                | GA | Gabon                                 | LV | Latvia                                    | SZ | Swaziland                |
| AZ | Azerbaijan               | GB | United Kingdom                        | MC | Monaco                                    | TD | Chad                     |
| BA | Bosnia and Herzegovina   | GE | Georgia                               | MD | Republic of Moldova                       | TG | Togo                     |
| BB | Barbados                 | GH | Ghana                                 | MG | Madagascar                                | TJ | Tajikistan               |
| BE | Belgium                  | GN | Guinea                                | MK | The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia | TM | Turkmenistan             |
| BF | Burkina Faso             | GR | Greece                                | ML | Mali                                      | TR | Turkey                   |
| BG | Bulgaria                 | HU | Hungary                               | MN | Mongolia                                  | TT | Trinidad and Tobago      |
| BJ | Benin                    | IE | Ireland                               | MR | Mauritania                                | UA | Ukraine                  |
| BR | Brazil                   | IL | Israel                                | MW | Malawi                                    | UG | Uganda                   |
| BY | Belarus                  | IS | Iceland                               | MX | Mexico                                    | US | United States of America |
| CA | Canada                   | IT | Italy                                 | NE | Niger                                     | UZ | Uzbekistan               |
| CF | Central African Republic | JP | Japan                                 | NL | Netherlands                               | VN | Viet Nam                 |
| CG | Congo                    | KE | Kenya                                 | NO | Norway                                    | YU | Yugoslavia               |
| CH | Switzerland              | KG | Kyrgyzstan                            | NZ | New Zealand                               | ZW | Zimbabwe                 |
| CI | Côte d'Ivoire            | KP | Democratic People's Republic of Korea | PL | Poland                                    |    |                          |
| CM | Cameroon                 | KR | Republic of Korea                     | PT | Portugal                                  |    |                          |
| CN | China                    | KZ | Kazakhstan                            | RO | Romania                                   |    |                          |
| CU | Cuba                     | LC | Saint Lucia                           | RU | Russian Federation                        |    |                          |
| CZ | Czech Republic           | LI | Liechtenstein                         | SD | Sudan                                     |    |                          |
| DE | Germany                  | LK | Sri Lanka                             | SE | Sweden                                    |    |                          |
| DK | Denmark                  | LR | Liberia                               | SG | Singapore                                 |    |                          |
| EE | Estonia                  |    |                                       |    |   |    |                          |

**SONET ADD/DROP MULTIPLEXER WITH**  
**PACKET OVER SONET CAPABILITY**

The present invention relates generally to a SONET add/drop multiplexer enhanced so as to support Packet over SONET (POS) and Multiprotocol Label Switching (MPLS). Such a device will allow packet traffic, such as Internet Protocol traffic, which is added to and/or dropped from various points in a SONET network to be carried over the same SONET path on the network.

**BACKGROUND ART**

Traditionally telephony networks have been synchronous in nature. They were initially constructed for the purpose of carrying narrowband voice signals, as wideband and broadband traffic, such as data and video, did not exist. Today, both network operators and equipment vendors face the challenge of handling wideband and broadband traffic with an infrastructure that is essentially inadequate because it was not designed for this purpose.

With the proliferation of the Internet and the integration of Internet traffic onto telephony networks, the networks are now dealing with large volumes of data traffic. As the Internet grows and more Internet service providers arise, telephony service providers and Internet service providers are investing more money in capital equipment in order to provide more bandwidth and paths for the Internet service traffic to flow.

One way Internet Protocol data could be routed in through a telephony network is over an ATM network. However, such a method of transmission of Internet Protocol data is inefficient due to cell header, packet-to-cell adaptation and encapsulation overhead.

Another method of routing Internet Protocol data is over a SONET network. Traditionally, if an Internet service provider point of presence would be connected into a SONET network, it would be through a device such as an add/drop multiplexer. If that Internet service provider point of presence was to be connected to another Internet service provider point of presence, a dedicated STS link within the SONET network would need to be established between the two points of presence. As more and more Internet service providers are added to such a network, the number of dedicated STS links dramatically increases, causing allocation of network bandwidth to become very inefficient.

Recently, the Internet Engineering Task Force approved a draft of a new standard known as Multiprotocol Label Switching (MPLS). MPLS attempts to merge layer 2 and layer 3 data switching. Under MPLS, an edge node converts regular packets into an MPLS format. The edge node also handles priority. Core nodes, however, do not need to look deeper than the assigned label to perform a switching function. This speeds up the switching process because the core nodes no longer need to look at a packet's source and destination address and priority. The draft MPLS standards are available to the public for download from the Internet at [www.ietf.cnri.reston.va.us/ID.html](http://www.ietf.cnri.reston.va.us/ID.html). Currently available drafts include 1) draft-ietf-mpls-arch-04.txt; 2) draft-ietf-mpls-framework-02.txt; 3) draft-ietf-mpls-label-emcaps-03.txt; 4) draft-ietf-mpls-fr-03.txt; 5) draft-ietf-mpls-ldp-03.txt; 6) draft-ietf-mpls-ldp-03.txt; 7) draft-ietf-mpls-rsvp-ldp-tunnel-01.txt; and 8) draft-ietf-mpls-bgp4-mpls-02.txt. Each of these draft MPLS standards is hereby incorporated by reference.

MPLS offers the Internet service provider community the ability to offer different grades of service. It also provides the ability to create virtual private networks through the stacking of labels. For instance, one label can designate a particular company,

while a sub-label can indicate a specific group of users within that company. MPLS also makes it easier for Internet service providers to perform traffic engineering by permitting Internet service providers to explicitly route certain labels to alleviate congestion.

5           However, the MPLS standards do not address integration of MPLS switching into a SONET network.

### SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

0           The present invention provides an apparatus and method for efficiently utilizing bandwidth within a SONET network carrying data packets such as Internet Protocol packets. The invention does so by providing packet switching capability within SONET add/drop multiplexers.

          An embodiment of the present invention provides for the inclusion of MPLS switching capability within a SONET add/drop multiplexer.

5           Another embodiment of the present invention provides for the inclusion of packet switching capability within a SONET add/drop multiplexer along with ATM switching capability.

          Another embodiment of the present invention provides for the inclusion of edge node service adaptation functionality within a SONET add/drop multiplexer.

0           Thus, it is an object of the present invention to optimize allocation of SONET transport bandwidth carrying packet traffic.

          It is a further object of the present invention to provide the ability to offer different grades of packet traffic service in a SONET network.

5           It is another object of an embodiment of the present invention to provide the ability to create virtual private networks over a SONET network.

It is a further object of embodiment of the present invention to provide the ability to perform traffic engineering in a SONET network carrying packet traffic.

It is another object of the present invention to reduce capital costs for Internet service providers connecting to SONET networks.

5 It is a further object of the present invention to reduce the amount of state information that must be maintained in a SONET network.

### BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

10 These and other objects and advantages of this invention will become more apparent and more readily appreciated by reference to the description of the preferred embodiments, taken in conjunction with the accompanying drawings, of which:

Fig. 1 (Prior Art) is a block diagram of a SONET network carrying packet traffic of the prior art;

15 Fig. 2 is a block diagram according to an embodiment of the present invention of a SONET network;

Fig. 3 is a block diagram of a SONET network according to an embodiment of the present invention.

### DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

20 The present invention will be better understood by reference to the accompanying drawings.

Fig. 1 (prior art) depicts a SONET network consisting of SONET add/drop multiplexers 71 through 78, regional Internet service provider points of presence 81 through 83, and national Internet service provider points of presence 84 and 85 of the prior art. Under the prior art, if each local Internet service provider point of presence

25

was to be connected to both of the national Internet service provider points of presence, and the two national Internet service provider points of presence were to be interconnected, then 7 separate STS links within the SONET network would have to be dedicated to carrying the packet traffic between the various Internet service providers.

5 For example, to connect regional Internet service provider point of presence 81 to national Internet service provider point of presence 85, add/drop multiplexer 71 would have to connect input 51 from regional Internet service provider 81 to add/drop multiplexer 78 through dedicated STS link 91. Add/drop multiplexer 78 would then have to connect dedicated STS link 91 to line 61 to connect it to the national Internet  
10 service provider 85. To connect regional Internet service provider 81 to national Internet service provider 84, add/drop multiplexer 71 would have to connect input 52 to add/drop multiplexer 77 through dedicated STS link 92. Add/drop multiplexer 77 would then have to connect STS link 92 to national Internet service provider 84 through line 60.

15 To connect regional Internet service provider 82 to national Internet service provider 85, add/drop multiplexer 74 would have to connect input line 53 to add/drop multiplexer 78 through dedicated STS link 97. Add/drop multiplexer 78 would then have to connect dedicated STS link 97 to line 62. To connect regional Internet service provider 82 to national Internet service provider 84, add/drop multiplexer 74 would have  
20 to connect input line 54 to add/drop multiplexer 77 through dedicated STS link 96. Add/drop multiplexer 77 would then have to connect dedicated STS link 96 to input line 59.

To connect regional Internet service provider 83 to national Internet service provider 85, add/drop multiplexer 76 would have to connect input line 55 to add/drop  
25 multiplexer 78 through dedicated STS link 95. Add/drop multiplexer 78 would then

have to connect dedicated STS link 95 to input line 63. To connect regional Internet service provider 83 to national Internet service provider 84, add/drop multiplexer 76 would have to connect input line 56 to add/drop multiplexer 77 via dedicated STS link 94. Add/drop multiplexer 77 would then have to connect dedicated STS link 94 to line 58.

To connect the two national Internet service providers 84 and 85 to each other, add/drop multiplexer 78 would have to connect input line 64 to add/drop multiplexer 77 via dedicated STS link 93. Add/drop multiplexer 77 would then have to connect dedicated STS link 93 to input line 57.

It doesn't take too many Internet service providers to make this SONET network of the prior art very inefficient. Moreover, an expensive IP switch port would be necessary for each of the connections. Thus, two IP switch ports would be necessary at each of the regional Internet service provider points of presence 81 through 83 and four IP switch ports would be necessary at each of the national Internet service provider points of presence 84 and 85.

In Fig. 2, a SONET network according to an embodiment of present invention is shown. In this figure, at least SONET add/drop multiplexers 101, 104, 106, 107 and 108 are equipped with POS/MPLS capability. This enables the add/drop multiplexers to process labels and concentrate label switch paths into a single STS path between the add/drop multiplexer nodes. Add/drop multiplexers 102, 103, and 105 may be of the traditional type or may include the MPLS capability. Preferably, each of the add/drop multiplexers would include this capability to enable Internet service providers to connect directly to add/drop multiplexers 102, 103 and 105 in the future. By including POS/MPLS capability in SONET add/drop multiplexers 101, 104, 106, 107 and 108, a single STS link between each of the nodes can be devoted to carrying packet data.

This STS link would flow along the path of 111,112,113 and 114. The MPLS labels with PPP or frame relay encapsulation would define virtual circuits over the SONET network. SONET add/drop multiplexers 101, 104, 106, 107 and 108 can then concentrate/groom the MPLS label delineated virtual circuits onto a common SONET transport path. Additionally, communications between the Internet service provider points of presence and their respective add/drop multiplexers can now be combined into one respective pipe. This reduces the number of IP switch ports required at each Internet service provider point presence down to one.

Traditional engineering methods can be utilized by one skilled in the art to design POS/MPLS capability into a SONET add/drop multiplexer.

Although it is preferable to provide POS/MPLS capability within the SONET add/drop multiplexer, similar savings can be realized in bandwidth and switch port costs without utilizing MPLS. For instance, if layer 2 encapsulation is frame relay, then the SONET add/drop multiplexer can utilize the frame relay address (DLCI) field to delineate virtual circuits for concentration into the common STS path 111,112,113 and 114.

An additional benefit of providing POS/MPLS capability within the SONET add/drop multiplexer is that MPLS label switched paths can be merged to form multipoint-to-point trees. This reduces the amount of state information that must be maintained by the network.

Preferably, the SONET add/drop multiplexers of the present invention would each contain a route processor that would run standard IP routing protocols such as OSPF, BGP, and multicast (e.g. PIM) as well as label distribution protocols, such as LDP. Additionally, the SONET add/drop multiplexers would contain interfaces for Ethernet, DS 3, OC-3, OC-12, and others. The SONET add/drop multiplexers of the

present invention may also contain an ATM switching fabric in order to switch ATM traffic that may be present on the SONET network. Again, traditional engineering methods can be used by those skilled in the art to build such devices.

Fig. 3 depicts a SONET network according to an embodiment of present invention. In this figure, edge node service adaptation function has been incorporated into the POS/MPLS add/drop multiplexer. As can be seen in Fig. 3, SONET add/drop multiplexers 121, 123, and 124 have MPLS router functions built into them, 131, 133 and 134 respectively. SONET add/drop multiplexers 122, 125 and 126 have MPLS functionality as discussed previously. This functionality enables them to label switch. By providing MPLS edge router functionality in SONET add/drop multiplexers 121, 123 and 124, computer network 141 and computer network 142 can be connected in a virtual private network. Additionally, computer networks 141 and 142 can access the Internet through line 150 to an Internet service provider (not shown). Such devices can be built by those skilled in the art using traditional engineering methods.

Although each of the preferred embodiments discussed above was discussed with reference to a SONET network, the same may be applicable to an SDH network or any other synchronous optical network.

Although the preferred embodiments of the present invention have been described and illustrated in detail, it will be evident to those skilled in the art that various modifications and changes may be made thereto without departing from the spirit and scope of the invention as set forth in the appended claims and equivalents thereof.

I claim:

1. A synchronous optical network element comprising:  
a time division multiplexer; and  
a packet switch.
- 5 2. A synchronous optical network element, as claimed in Claim 1, wherein said packet switch is a Multiprotocol Label Switch.
3. A synchronous optical network element, as claimed in Claim 1, further comprising an edge router.
4. A synchronous optical network element, as claimed in Claim 1, wherein said  
0 packet switch is capable of switching Internet Protocol packets.
5. A synchronous optical network element, as claimed in Claim 1, wherein said synchronous optical network element switches packets based upon a Frame Relay address field.
6. A synchronous optical network element, as claimed in Claim 5, wherein said  
5 Frame Relay address field is DLCI.
7. A synchronous optical network element as claimed in Claim 1, wherein said synchronous optical network element switches packets based upon a destination Internet Protocol address.
8. A synchronous optical network element comprising:  
0 a time division multiplexer; and  
a Multiprotocol Label Switch.
9. A synchronous optical network element as claimed in claim 8, further comprising:  
a route processor, said route processor running at least one standard Internet Protocol routing protocol and a label distribution protocol.
- 5 10. A synchronous optical network element as claimed in claim 9, wherein said route

processor runs at least OSPF, BGP and at least one multicast routing protocol.

11. A synchronous optical network element as claimed in claim 8, further comprising an ATM switching fabric.

12. A synchronous optical network element as claimed in claim 8, further comprising:  
5 an ethernet LAN interface; and  
a DS-3 interface.

13. A synchronous optical network element as in claim 8, further comprising an edge router.

14.. A synchronous optical network comprising:  
10 a plurality of synchronous optical add/drop multiplexers;  
a transmission medium, said transmission medium connecting said plurality of synchronous optical add/drop multiplexers together;

wherein at least a portion of said plurality of synchronous optical add/drop multiplexers comprise both a time division multiplexer and a packet switch.

15. 15. A synchronous optical network, as claimed in Claim 14, wherein said at least a portion of said plurality of synchronous optical add/drop multiplexers are capable of Multiprotocol Label Switching.

16. A synchronous optical network, as claimed in Claim 14, wherein at least some of said plurality of synchronous optical add/drop multiplexers comprise an edge router.

20 17. A synchronous optical network as claimed in Claim 14, wherein said at least a portion of said plurality of synchronous optical add/drop multiplexers are capable of switching Internet Protocol packets.

18. A synchronous optical network as claimed in Claim 14, wherein said transmission medium comprises a fiber optic loop.

25 19. A method of conserving bandwidth in a synchronous optical network carrying

packet data, comprising the steps of:

switching said packet data in at least one synchronous optical network element from which said packet data enters or exists said synchronous optical network into a concentrated path;

5 transporting said packet data along said concentrated path to another synchronous optical network element.

20. A method of conserving bandwidth in a synchronous optical network carrying packet data, as claimed in Claim 19, wherein said switching is Multiprotocol Label Switching, wherein virtual circuits are delineated using MPLS labels with PPP  
10 encapsulation.

21. A method of conserving bandwidth in a synchronous optical network carrying packet data, as claimed in Claim 19, wherein said packets are Internet Protocol packets.

22. A method of conserving bandwidth in a synchronous optical network carrying  
15 packet data, as claimed in Claim 19, further comprising the step of: setting up virtual circuits between synchronous optical network elements based upon a Frame Relay address field.

23. A method of conserving bandwidth in a synchronous optical network carrying packet data as claimed in Claim 22, wherein said Frame Relay address field is DLCI.

20 24. A method of conserving bandwidth in a synchronous optical network carrying packet data as claimed in Claim 19, further comprising the step of merging Multiprotocol Label Switch paths to form multipoint-to-point trees.

25 25. A method of conserving bandwidth in a synchronous optical network carrying packet data as claimed in Claim 24, wherein said merging Multiprotocol Label Switch paths step conserves state information within said

synchronous optical network.

26. A method of conserving bandwidth in a synchronous optical network carrying packet data as claimed in Claim 19, further comprising the step of providing a virtual private network in over said synchronous optical network.

5 27. A method of conserving bandwidth in a synchronous optical network carrying packet data as claimed in Claim 26, wherein a MPLS label stack is used to delineate a virtual private network.

10

1/3






FIG. 1  
(PRIOR ART)





## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

 International application No.  
 PCT/US00/11727

## A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC(7) : HO4L 12/64

US CL : 370/352

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

## B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

U.S. : 370/352, 353, 354, 355, 356, 400, 410, 4666, 467

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)

## C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

| Category*             | Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages | Relevant to claim No.           |
|-----------------------|--|---------------------------------|
| X, P<br>-----<br>Y, P | US 5,982,767 A (MCINTOSH) 09 NOVEMBER 1999, figure 2-4.                            | 1-17, 19 & 21-23<br>-----<br>26 |
| X<br>---<br>Y         | US 5,684,799 A (BIGHAM et al) 04 November 1997, figure 2.                          | 1-19 & 21-23<br>-----<br>26     |
| Y, P                  | US 5,953,338 A (MA et al) 14 September 1999, col. 3, lines 8-30.                   | 26                              |

☐ Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.
 ☐ See patent family annex.

|     |   |     |  |
|-----|---|-----|--|
| * . | Special categories of cited documents:  | *T* | later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention  |
| *A* | document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance  | *X* | document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone   |
| *E* | earlier document published on or after the international filing date  | *Y* | document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art |
| *L* | document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) | *Z* | document member of the same patent family  |
| *O* | document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means  |     |  |
| *P* | document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed  |     |  |

|   |  |
|---|--|
| Date of the actual completion of the international search<br>06 SEPTEMBER 2000  | Date of mailing of the international search report<br>02 OCT 2000                        |
| Name and mailing address of the ISA/US<br>Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks<br>Box PCT<br>Washington, D.C. 20231<br>Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230 | Authorized officer<br>HUY D. VU <i>James R. Matthews</i><br>Telephone No. (703) 308-6602 |